

Supplementary text to the documentary Absolute Brain (automatically translated), original text available here: <https://newsvoice.se/2022/08/olaglig-hjarntechnologi/>

In the run-up to the 2022 elections, the documentary Absolute Brain has been published today in a 50-minute short version with an addition for the 2022 parliamentary elections. The film represents a Swedish-American collaboration on how illegal brain technology has been tested on humans for decades without their consent, claims the commentator behind the article.

The film is being sent to the leadership of Swedish political parties, to all accredited foreign correspondents in Sweden, to the UN human rights departments in Geneva and New York, and to Swedish newspapers and organisations.

The film represents a Swedish-American collaboration on how illegal brain technology has been tested on humans for decades without their consent, the commentator behind the article claims.

The film is being sent to the leadership of Swedish political parties, to all accredited foreign correspondents in Sweden, to the UN human rights departments in Geneva and New York, and to Swedish newspapers and organisations.

The film showcases the subject of political brain technology - an ever-expanding and important area that politicians neither know about nor interfere in. It is about the influence of our brains and genes, a development opened up by **Alva Myrdal** in the government inquiry Choosing the Future, SOU 1972:59.

Myrdal wrote that we would find it difficult to protect our brains and genes from intervention and change. The subject is completely unregulated, as government inquiries, the European Commission's Ethics Council, professors, US politicians and now, to a large extent, the European Commission have all shown.

Swedish professor **Lars Lönnroth** wrote:

"... the criticism of research should be sharpened as a matter of urgency and media representatives have not yet acquired enough knowledge and moral courage to carry out their scrutiny of scientific projects with far-reaching consequences for human living conditions."

This accusation applies equally to politicians for failing to address techno-political developments.

In the film, we can see how bad things get for human beings when hidden state interests intervene in our brains and genes for large-scale research, which involves the majority of the population's life circumstances.

We lose all protection, both to our self-evident right to live and to have the opinions we have. The military agency FOI, which together with the Ministry of Defence is leading the Human-System-Interaction project, explains in its agenda that the main programme involves the control of human cognitive functions, that we should be integrated into their activities throughout our lives.

This research involves severe physical strain on humans with the risk of serious injury, while professors declare they cannot avoid creating illness and death for those used in the experiments. This is backed up by the facts.

But all this is only the beginning of the most inhuman state development that is still being kept from the public's knowledge. Moreover, it may come as a surprise that these very words were used by the Ministry of Justice in the government inquiry SOU 1972:47 when the introduction took place: "In the extension of information processing, the contours of an unaccountable police state with an absolutely efficient and in its effects inhuman administration are being sensed", it wrote.

In order that nothing should come out about the brutal brain experiments, the Social Welfare Agency, together with the psychiatric service, has since about 1970, when the project became widespread, had all those who try to come out with what they are subjected to insanity declared.

It has become so widespread that for 10-15 years a comic book has been produced, The Secret of Brain Chips, which explains in 50 pages of cartoons that anyone who assumes they have been exposed to brain chips is insane and in need of treatment. This is an international method of silencing victims and has attracted serious protests, including from the leading scientific body in the US, the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

All this is shown in the film, which also features the last eight Directors-General of the National Board of Health and Welfare, who have been involved in this directly criminal activity. This was something that was already submitted on 28 March 2022 to the JO and JK against these Directors General. In addition, it was issued to the Speaker of the Riksdag, to the members of the Supreme Court, to the Bar Association, the United Nations Association and a few more institutions.

Several have taken their lives for the brain experiments they were subjected to when they were declared insane instead of being helped by the Social Welfare Agency/psychiatry, like **Gustav Wollgarth** (2017), **Martin Lindersten** (2019) and **Sebastian Manitski** (2015). All in their 20s and 30s. They are just a few examples of something victims often find as a last resort.

The film is a complaint against Sweden for human rights violations, for initiating a political project of covertly connecting the human brain to state supercomputers for medical research, behavioural manipulation and brain experiments.

All of this is verified by professors, by the European Council, by the European Commission and the U.S. Department of Health verifying that this technology creates an increased risk for all women to give birth to genetically damaged babies. That this is also true for Sweden can be seen from theses at Uppsala University, which announced in 2021 that genital injuries in babies are very common and frequent.

A survey of political brain technology also shows that many of the acute problems the political parties want to address are consequences of techno-political developments.

The connection of the population's brains to state supercomputers took place in the 1970s-1980s, and it was a relationship that took hold in both Europe and the United States. The government inquiry, SOU 1991:17 of the National Board of Health and Welfare, explained that a new panorama of disease had swept across the industrialised world in recent decades and was characterised by chronic and long-term illnesses.

Since then, mental illness has spiralled, as have behavioural disorders, brain tumours and 10% of young children need to take psychotropic drugs before they reach school age. The fact that all this is due to the same cause is made clear in both the film and the accompanying information material.

The film opens with a review of the development of artificial intelligence, information technology and remote control of the brain. Much of the introduction is referenced from revealing New York Times articles, including one in which President Ford referred to the CIA's assassinations of foreign heads of state and another in which CIA documents came to light showing that as early as the 1950s it had projects to create involuntary assassins, capable of being directed to different targets.

We can also see this from the activities of the Swedish security police and one of the most important changes that needs to happen is the understanding of gang crime and shootings, something the SÄPO is involved in. This is well understood by the European Commission's ban to the Swedish government in 2021, programming brains to create violence.

French professor **Jean-Pierre Changeux** also questions whether the convicted can really be seen as guilty of the crimes they are accused of. The New York Times took issue with this in an editorial, demanding that the abused must be compensated.

In addition, American professor **James Giordano** gives his opinion, calling the technology a neuro weapon against humans and explaining that it disrupts thoughts, emotions and normal behaviour. "A weapon of mass disruption", are his words and the Swedish Research Council mentions that the technology is abused when it alters the behaviour of innocent young people.

A crucial question is who should be considered to be responsible for what happens after the state has taken hold of the human brain.

What is covered in the film ranges from medical research, brain experiments and behavioural manipulation of people without their knowledge. It starts with the state entering our brains by covert means; during operations, during sedation in detention centres and mental hospitals, and through mixed-up medical products with biochips and nanomaterials.

In addition, the development of microsystems technology enters our bodies through the lungs and stresses internal organs, as the Scientific Council points out. In the film you can see military FOI's words about their microsystems technology they write can spread like pollen or bacteria. The main protest comes from the European Commission's Scientific Council with their declaration Ethical aspects of ICT-implants in the human body.

It explains that it controls people's volitional processes, complete behaviours, alters people's identity, memory functions, self-perception and perceptions of others. It also indicates, as the French Bioethics Commission did in 1998, that the state is spreading racism with these systems.

The French MP, **Claude Goasguen**, raised the subject in a speech at the National Assembly in December 2004, saying that the activity was not limited by any geographical boundaries. He was referring to the fact that foreign powers could capture people and use them for experimental activities.

In the film, we see American professor **James Giordano** explaining that the US has built international neuro-weapon systems, that they can thus influence the behaviour and health of other nations' populations, something that leading US politicians have also expressed.

Professor **Göran Hermerén**, in his book *The Price of Knowledge*, already gave information in 1987 about the development of methods to create destruction and suffering for people and about the hidden state's methods to neutralise democratic decisions if these go against its interests.

These are also the methods used to keep this issue out of the public eye. Another way shown in the film is the control of our thoughts, where Professor **Jens Schouenborg** discusses the possibility of inducing new memories, while other scientists explain that memories can be removed.

Perhaps one of the biggest sensations is that the security police are programming people to create violence. It was something the European Commission raised in its ban to the Swedish government and it was about programming people into violence with subliminal messages into their brains.

They wrote, among other things:

"... AI systems used to exploit the vulnerability of a specific group of people, where the vulnerability is due to age, mental or physical disability, and the aim is to substantially alter the behaviour of a person belonging to that group in a way that results, or is likely to result, in physical or psychological harm to that person or another person."

In the film we can see examples of murders committed in the aftermath of these projects and this is included as a cause of the gang members' shootings.

When former Speaker of Parliament **Urban Ahlin** gave an interview to Jan Scherman in 2018, he said, "we are living in a time of disruption" and continued, "I think there is a big threat to democracy". Similar words were expressed by **Håkan Juholt** in SvD in 2016 when he said:

"I'm sorry to say it, but I'm 100% sure, we are dismantling democracy."

Professor **Peter Westerholm** said in a speech at the Ministry of Justice that the hidden state has set up a defence that nothing will be released to the population about biomedical research that exploits people without their knowledge.

Studies carried out 40 years ago found that both mental patients and detainees were anaesthetised without their knowledge and then implanted with transmitters in their brains and heads. There were some who followed up and sent a letter signed by 50 people, including two professors, to the Attorney General Magnus Sjöberg.

It was submitted on 15 January 1985 and asked whether it was legal to sedate inmates in detention centres and mental hospitals and then subject them to implants. The RÅ transferred the case to the Stockholm Chief Prosecutor, where Jonny Järlefält and Cleas Zeime gave an opinion on 15 May that there were no laws prohibiting it, and that therefore no investigations should be initiated into the victims mentioned. We see examples of the beatings in the film.

There is a very extensive information and source material in various compilations, edited and easy to read like the book What is Political Brain Technology.

In addition, there is a multitude of further clarifications regarding the two most critical issues here, the security police murders and the criminal insanity declarations by the Social Security Administration.

For those parties who wish further documentation on these or other aspects of the accusations made against the various authorities and their activities, we can provide these with the best clarifications.

In order to absorb all the information contained in the film, it is necessary to stop the film at some points in order to have time to read the documents. Likewise, you should know that the security police often block both incoming and outgoing email and mobile communications.

This is by far the most crucial issue for politicians to address. As long as it is withheld from the population, we cannot say that we live in a democracy or in a country where the population has any human rights.
